

**PKU Summer School International
IARU Course**

**THE RISE OF CHINA AND CHANGE IN WORLD POLITICS
“中国崛起与世界政治变局” 专题研讨课**

~ Syllabus ~

Dr. XU Xin
Cornell University

Summer 2025

Peking University

Objective

The rise of China is one of the most important and defining themes in contemporary international relations. This seminar course is intended for advanced undergraduate students to examine major issues and topics concerning the rise of China from a broad theoretical perspective, and to engage in the academic discourse and policy debate about implications of China's rise for world politics. The seminar is organized around the central question – what kind of change will China's rise bring to the international system? – and roughly divided into three parts: (1) China's rise and the “paradigm change” in world politics; (2) China's quest for national identity and rejuvenation; and (3) impacts of China rising on Asia and the world. Under each of these sections, a few specific topics are identified for class discussion.

Students wishing to enroll in this course are expected to have basic knowledge of international relations and China's foreign policy.

Proceeding of the Course

Participation and discussion (including attending TA-led sections and class fieldtrips) constitute a central part in this course. Attendance is mandatory. Students are expected to complete all required readings prior to class meetings and to actively participate in class discussion. Absence without legitimate reasons will lead to deduction in scores for participation and discussion, and **3 unexcused absences** will lead to a student's failure in the course.

The proceeding of the course will be based on students' presentation of the required texts related to the general themes and specific topics. Throughout the course, each student is expected to write one short(1-page) memo which briefly critiques required texts and raises questions for a particular session. Memos and presentations will count toward scores in participation. The students who prepare memos should circulate the memos via the course public email before class, and each make a 5 minute presentation in class, which is followed by class discussion.

Students will take 4 quizzes mainly about readings and lectures; scores for 3 of the quizzes count toward the evaluation.

Group Presentation

There will be three group presentations on three different topics. Each group is expected to work together as a team on one of the recommended topics, making the best of assigned texts and any other

available resources in Beijing. Initiatives and research are encouraged. The presentation is given in the format of ppt slides in class for no more than 20 minutes, and followed by Q&A and class discussion. The three topics and presentation schedule are as follows:

- The China Model debate (7/18);
- China and globalization: The Belt and Road initiative (7/20);
- China and the North Korean nuclear issue (7/24).

Fieldtrips

The class will organize two fieldtrips, as part of course requirements: (1) Tour to Tianjin, *legacies and representations of foreign concessions*, tentatively scheduled for Sunday, July 7; (2) Visit to the Marco Bridge and the Museum of the War of Chinese People’s Resistance Against Japanese Aggression, the exhibition “*Great Victory, Historical Contributions*”, tentatively scheduled for Sunday, July 14, 9:00A-12:00P.

Two optional tours: The Old Summer Palace (Yuanmingyuan Park); The National Museum of China, the exhibition “*The Road of Rejuvenation*”.

Paper Assignment

Students are required to write one analytical paper (about 1,200-1,500 words, double-space and 12-point font) on a topic that is relevant for the central theme of this course. The paper should focus on one or more specific issues/topics of this course, and may be related to your group project or fieldtrips.

Paper does not require research on primary sources, but it should be associated with the assigned texts and other relevant literature.

The paper is **due on Friday, August 3**. No late submission will be accepted unless a legitimate reason is presented to the instructor at least three days in advance. If you have any question concerning how to pick up a topic and/or how to write a paper, please consult with the instructor or TAs.

Evaluation

Five parts of the evaluation will be calculated as follows:

Attendance	Participation	Group Presentation	Quizzes	Paper
20%	20%	15%	15%	30%

Texts

There are both *required* and *recommended* readings for each class. No book purchase needed. Yet you may read any of the following books as a background reading:

- Ezra F. Vogel. 2011. *Deng Xiaoping and the Transformation of China*. Cambridge, MA and London, England: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Henry Kissinger. 2011. *On China*. New York: The Penguin Press.
- Thomas J. Christensen. 2016. *The China Challenge: Shaping the Choices of a Rising Power*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

All the required texts (those highlighted in **bold**) will be available and accessible through the course public email which will be available during the summer school.

Academic Integrity

Participation in this class commits the students and instructor to abide by a general norm of equal opportunity and academic integrity. It implies permission from students to submit their written work to services that check for plagiarism (such as Turnitin.com). It is your responsibility to familiarize yourself with the definition of plagiarism. Violations of the norm of academic integrity will be firmly dealt with in this class.

CLASS SCHEDULE (Subject to adjustment)

Session1

Introduction: Understanding the Rise of China

China is a sleeping lion. Let her sleep, for when she wakes, she will shake the world.

Napoléon Bonaparte, 1803 (or 1817)

It is not possible to pretend that China is another player. This is the biggest player in the history of man.

Lee Kuan Yew, 1993

Outline:

- What is the rise of China all about?
- International *systemic* change and international *systems* change
- The purpose and scope of the course
- Course requirements
- Class and presentations scheduling

Questions:

- Why study the rise of China?
- According to Gilpin, what is the difference between *international systemic change* and *international systems change*?
- What kind of change does the rise of China bring about to world politics?
- What do you expect to take away from this course?

Readings:

Required

- **Christensen, Thomas J. 2016. *The China Challenge: Shaping the Choices of a Rising Power*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, Inc. pp. 13-62.**

Recommended

- Katzenstein, Peter. 2009. “China’s Rise: Return, Rupture or Recombination?” Presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Studies Association, February 15-18, New York, N.Y.
- Kissinger, Henry. 2011. *On China*. New York: The Penguin Press.
- Gilpin, Robert. 1981. *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.1-49.
- Rosenau, J. 1990. *Turbulence in World Politics: A Theory of Change and Continuity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp. 3-20, 443-461.

TA Section 1

- Self-introduction and warm-up
- Form groups

Session2

Contending Paradigms in World Politics

Memo Presentation I

What we are witnessing is not just the end of the Cold War, or the passing of a particular period of postwar history, but the end of history as such: that is, the end point of mankind’s ideological evolution and the universalization of Western liberal democracy as the final form of human government.

Francis Fukuyama, 1989

The clash of civilizations will dominate global politics. The fault lines between civilizations will be the battle lines of the future.

Samuel P. Huntington, 1993

Questions:

- Is the world embracing the “end of history” or the “clash of civilizations”? As of 2018, which of these two contending paradigms, or none of them, makes sense to you? Why?
- Does Fukuyama abandon his belief in the triumph of liberal democracy in his latest reflection on the “end of history”?
- According to Katzenstein, where is Huntington right about post-Cold War world politics? What is wrong with his “clash of civilizations” thesis?
- What policy implications do you draw from Katzenstein’s thesis differently than from Huntington’s and Fukuyama’s?

Readings:

Required

- **Fukuyama, Francis. 1989. “The End of History?” *The National Interest*, Summer. Available at <http://www.wesjones.com/eoh.htm>.**
- **Huntington, Samuel P. 1993. “The Clash of Civilizations?” *Foreign Affairs*, 72:3 (Summer), pp.22-49.**
- **Katzenstein, Peter. 2009. “A World of Plural and Pluralist Civilizations,” Keynote speech delivered at the 2009 Beijing Forum, November 6, Peking University, Beijing.**

Recommended

- Fukuyama, Francis. 2006. “After the ‘End of History’,” *Open Democracy*. May 2.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 2011. “US democracy has little to teach China,” *Financial Times*, January

17. Available at <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/cb6af6e8-2272-11e0-b6a2-00144feab49a.html#axzz1BIHTXYAb>.

- Fukuyama, Francis. 2012. “The Future of History: Can Liberal Democracy Survive the Decline of the Middle Class?” *Foreign Affairs*, 91:1 (January/February), pp. 53-61.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 2012. “The Patterns of History,” *Journal of Democracy*, 23:1 (January), pp. 14-26.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 2014. “America in Decay: The Sources of Political Dysfunction,” *Foreign Affairs*, 93:5 (September/October), pp. 5-26. Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 2015. “Why Is Democracy Performing so Poorly?” *Journal of Democracy* 26:1 (January), pp. 11-20.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 2017. “US against the world? Trump’s America and the new global order,” *Financial Time*, November 11, 2016. Available at <https://www.ft.com/content/6a43cf54-a75d-11e6-8b69-02899e8bd9d1>.
- Kagan, Robert. 2008. “The End of the End of History: Why the Twenty-first Century Will Look Like the Nineteenth,” *The New Republic*. April 23. Available at <http://www.carnegieendowment.org/publications/index.cfm?fa=view&id=20030&prog=zgp&roj=zusr>.
- M.S. 2011. “The end of the end of history,” *Economist*, January 18. Available at http://www.economist.com/blogs/democracyinamerica/2011/01/china_v_america
- Stanley, Timothy, and Alexander Lee. 2014. “It’s Still Not the End of History,” *The Atlantic*, September. Available at <https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2014/09/its-still-not-the-end-of-history-francis-fukuyama/379394/>.
- Hamid, Shadi. 2016. “The End of the End of History,” *Foreign Policy*, November 15. Available at <http://foreignpolicy.com/2016/11/15/the-end-of-the-end-of-history/>.
- Huntington, Samuel P. 1993b. “If Not Civilizations, What?” Samuel Huntington Responds to His Critics, *Foreign Affairs*, November/December. Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Sen, Amartya. 2006. “What Clash of Civilizations? Why Religious Identity Isn’t Destiny,” *Slate*, March 29. Adapted from Amartya Sen’s *Identity and Violence* (Norton, 2006). Available at <http://www.slate.com/id/2138731/>.
- Katzenstein, Peter J. ed. 2010. *Civilizations in World Politics: Plural and Pluralist Perspectives*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Katzenstein, Peter J. ed. 2012a. *Anglo-America: Civilizational Politics Beyond West and East*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Zakaria, Fareed. 1994. “Culture Is Destiny: A Conversation with Lee Kuan Yew,” *Foreign Affairs*, 73:2 (March/April), pp.109-126. Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Kim Dae Jung. 1994. “Is Culture Destiny? The Myth of Asia’s Anti-Democratic Values,” *Foreign Affairs*, 73:6 (November/December), pp.189-194. Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Furguson, Y.H., and Mansbach, R.W. 1999. “Global Politics at the Turn of the Millennium: Changing the Bases of ‘Us’ and ‘Them’,” *International Studies Review*, 1:2 (Summer), pp.77-107.
- Kymlicka, Will. 2007. *Multicultural Odysseys: Navigating the New International Politics of Diversity*. Oxford University Press.
- Kuhn, Thomas S. 1970. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Second Edition. The University of Chicago Press.

- Betts, Richard. 2010. “Conflict or Cooperation? Three Visions Revisited,” *Foreign Affairs*, 89:6 (November/December), pp. 186-194. Available at <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/66802/richard-k-betts/conflict-or-cooperation>.

Videos:

- *The End of Democracy?* Cornell’s Sesquicentennial event, “Will Democracy Have Competitors in the 21st Century?” will featured a keynote address by Fukuyama and responses from John Mearsheimer M.A. ’78, Ph.D. ’80 (University of Chicago) and Peter Katzenstein, W.S. Carpenter, Jr. Professor of International Studies. Isabel Hull, John Stambaugh Professor of History, served as moderator. November 18, 2014. Available at <http://as.cornell.edu/150/fukuyama.cfm>.
- *Samuel Huntington on the “Clash of Civilizations.”* Available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3SNicJRcUqs&list=PLoGM52h_DPK4L1txZ5YGKbUBQaF_tZdNJ.
- *Samuel Huntington’s Clash of Civilizations or Francis Fukuyama’s End of History?* Available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zS-tSbZh6eQ>.

Session 3

A World in the Image of *Tianxia*

Memo Presentation II

The Chinese, though as yet incompetent in politics and backward in economic development, have, in other respects, a civilization at least as good as our own, containing elements which the world greatly needs, and which we shall destroy at our peril.

Bertrand Russell, 1922

The last 100 years have been a most trying time for the majority of Chinese wanting to keep faith with their culture of values. The transition has been long and bitter. The battle for a convergence between the old values that people are comfortable with and the new values that promise to free them from drudgery and poverty is likely to go on indefinitely.

Wang Gengwu, 2004

Questions:

- What does Wang Gungwu mean by the “culture of modernity”? What implications does “China’s fourth rise” have for the culture of modernity?
- According to Kang, how and why does western IR theory get Asia wrong? Do you agree?
- According to Zhao, what are the fundamental flaws of the modern international system? Does his *Tianxia* theory offer any better alternative? Why or why not?
- Will Asia’s future return to its own past of the Sino-centric world, or replicate Europe’s past of conflicts and war, or remain under the American hegemonic domain, or unfold as something else?
- Where does China/Asia fit in the “end of history” vs. the “clash of civilizations” debate? Where is China headed in the 21st century?

Readings:

Required

- Wang Gungwu. 2004. “The Fourth Rise of China: Cultural Implications,” *China: An International Journal*, 2:2, pp.311-322.
- Kang, David. 2003. “Getting Asia Wrong: The Need for New Analytical Frameworks,” *International Security*, 27:4 (Spring), pp.57-85.
- Zhao Tingyang. 2009. “A Political World Philosophy in Terms of All-under-heaven (Tianxia),” *Diogenes* 221, pp. 5-18.

Recommended

- Callahan, William A. 2008. “Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony,” *International Studies Review*, 10, pp. 749-761.
- Wang Gungwu (王赓武). 2006. “Tianxia and Empire: External Chinese Perspectives,” Inaugural Tsai Lecture, Harvard University, May 4.
- Jacques, Martin. 2009. *When China Rules the World: The End of the Western World and the Birth of A New Global Order*. New York: The Penguin Press.
- Chai Shao-jin. 2011. “Wang Yang-ming and Chinese Cosmopolitanism in Comparative Perspectives: Implications for Global Governance,” *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4:1, pp. 38-63.
- Chan, Steve. 1999. “Chinese Perspectives on World Order,” in T.V. Paul and J. A. Hall, eds., *International Order and the Future of World Politics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.197-212.
- *Economist*. 2009. “How China Sees the World, and How Should the World See China,” March 19. http://www.economist.com/opinion/PrinterFriendly.cfm?story_id=13326106.
- Fairbank, John K., ed. 1968. *The Chinese World Order: Traditional China's Foreign Relations*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Goldsmith, Benjamin E. 2007. “A Liberal Peace in Asia?” *Journal of Peace Research*, Vol. 44, No. 1, pp. 5–27.
- Kang, David. 2003/04. “Hierarchy, Balancing, and Empirical Puzzles in Asian International Relations,” *International Security*, 28:3 (Winter), pp.165-180. Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Kang, David. 2007. *China Rising: Peace, Power, and Order in East Asia*. Columbia University Press. Chapter 2, pp.18-49.
- Kang, David. 2010a. *East Asia Before the West: Five Centuries of Trade and Tribute*. Columbia University Press.
- Katzenstein, Peter J. 2008. “China's Rise: East Asia and Beyond,” *EAI Working Paper Series 12* (April). Seoul: East Asia Institute.
- Katzenstein, Peter J., ed. 2012. *Sinicization and the Rise of China*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Morrison, Charles, ed. 2001. *East Asia and the International System*. pp.1-56.
- Wang Gungwu(王赓武). 1999. *China and Southeast Asia: Myths, Threats and Culture*. Singapore University Press.
- Zhao Tingyang (赵汀阳). 2005. 《天下体系——世界制度哲学导论》 (*The Tianxia System: A Philosophy for the World Institution*). Nanjing: Jiangsu Jiaoyu Chubanshe.
- Zhang, Feng (张锋). 2010. “The Tianxia System: World Order in a Chinese Utopia,” Chinese Heritage Project, The National University of Australia, No. 21 (March). Available at http://www.chinaheritagequarterly.org/tien-hsia.php?searchterm=021_utopia.inc&issue=021.

- Zhang Weiwei (张维为). 2011. “An Apt Example of ‘Civilizational-state’,” *China Daily*, April 27, 9. Available at http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/opinion/2011-04/27/content_12401986.htm. Accessed November 27, 2011.

Concessions in Tianjin: Foreign Influence and China’s Modernity

Fieldtrip to Tianjin | Sunday, July 8 (tentative)

The concessions reveal an element of heterocronies, since they can be considered like museums but living ones.

Marinelli, 2009



Suggested Readings:

- Marinelli, Maurizio. 2010. “Internal and External Spaces: The Emotional Capital of Tianjin’s Italian Concession,” *Emotion, Space and Society*, 3, pp. 62-70.
- Wei, Yehua Denis, and Yanjie Jia. 2003. “The Geographical Foundations of Local State Initiatives: Globalizing Tianjin, China,” *Cities*, 20:2, pp. 101-114.
- Marinelli, Maurizio. 2009. “Making Concessions in Tianjin: Heterotopia and Italian Colonialism in Mainland China,” *Urban History*, 36, pp 399-425.
- Dryburgh, Marjorie. 2007. “Japan in Tianjin: Settlers, State and the Tensions of Empire before 1937,” *Japanese Studies*, 27:1, pp. 19-34. Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10371390701268620>.

Session4

China’s Quest for Modern Identity

Memo Presentation III

China is not just another nation-state in the family of nations. China is a civilization pretending to be a state. The story of modern China could be described as the effort by both Chinese and foreigners to squeeze a civilization into the arbitrary, constraining framework of the modern state, an institutional invention that came out of the fragmentation of the West’s own civilization.

Lucian Pye (1990: 58)

An important, perhaps even dominant feature of Chinese national identity has been a preoccupation with creating and maintaining a strong centralized state.

Michael Hunt (1993: 62)

Questions:

- What is the central motif that was consistently manifest in the increasing *radicalization* of Chinese elites or “politically engaged intellectuals”– from self-strengthening campaign, to institutional reform, to the Republican revolution, and all the way to the socialist/communist revolution – in the late 19th century and early 20th century? What accounts for this radicalization?
- What is your understanding of the paradox that “to save China meant destroying important parts of it” (Hunt, 1993: 69)? How did radical revolutionaries in the early 20th century characterize the relationship between the state, society/people, and the outside world?
- Where do the intellectual trends in the late 20th century and early 21st century resemble those in the late 19th century and early 20th century, and where do they differ? What insights can be drawn from the Chinese critique of modernity?
- Perry Link (2015), as one of the most outspoken critics of the CCP, argues that many of the young Chinese become nationalistic and hostile to the West due to “government-sponsored anti-Western indoctrination.” What do you think of this assertion?

Readings:

Required

- **Hunt, Michael H. 1993.** “Chinese National Identities and the Strong State: The Late Qing-Republican Crisis,” in L. Dittmer and S. Kim, eds., *China’s Quest for National Identity*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University, pp.62-79 (you may skip pp. 77-79).
- **Meissner, Werner. 2007.** “China’s Search for Cultural and National Identity from the Nineteenth Century to the Present,” *China Perspectives*, 68 (November-December), pp. 1-19.
- **Link, Perry. 2015.** “What It Means to Be Chinese: Nationalism and Identity in Xi’s China,” *Foreign Affairs*, May/June Issue. Available at www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/china/2015-04-20/what-it-means-be-chinese.

Recommended

- Pye, Lucian W. 1990. “China: Erratic State, Frustrated Society,” *Foreign Affairs*, 69:4 (Fall), pp. 56-74.
- Zhao, Suisheng. 1997. “Chinese Intellectuals’ Quest for National Greatness and Nationalistic Writing in the 1990s,” *The China Quarterly*, 152. (December), pp. 725-745.
- Golden, Seán. 2006. “The Modernisation of China and the Chinese Critique of Modernity,” *Revista HMiC*, número IV, pp. 7-22.
- Tu Wei-ming. 1991. “Cultural China: The Periphery as the Center,” *Daedalus*, 120:2(Spring), “The Living Tree: The Changing Meaning of Being Chinese Today”, pp. 1-32.
- Jia, Wenshan. 2011. “On the Discourse of Cultural China,” *Journal of Asia-Pacific Communication*, 21:1, pp. 165-176.
- Callahan, William A. 2003. “Beyond Cosmopolitanism and Nationalism: Diasporic Chinese and Neo-Nationalism in China and Thailand,” *International Organization*, Vol. 57, No. 3. (Summer), pp. 481-517.
- Callahan, William A. 2005. “Nationalism, Civilization and Transnational Relations: The Discourse of Greater China,” *Journal of Contemporary China*, 14:43 (May).

- Carlson, Allen. 2009. “A Flawed Perspective: The Limitations Inherent within the Study of Chinese Nationalism,” *Nation and Nationalism*, 15:1, pp.20-35.
- Crossley, Pamela Kyle. 2011. “China’s Century-Long Identity Crisis,” *The Wall Street Journal Asia*, October 10, p.17.
- Deng, Yong. 2008. *China’s Struggle for Status: The Realignment of International Relations*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Dittmer, L., and S. Kim, eds. 1993. *China’s Quest for National Identity*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University.
- Gries, Peter H. 2004. *China’s New Nationalism: Pride, Politics, and Diplomacy*. University of California Press.
- Gries, Peter H. 2006. “Identity and Conflict in Sino-American Relations,” in A. I. Johnston and R. S. Ross, eds., *New Directions in the Study of China’s Foreign Policy*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, pp.309-339.
- Hsiao, Kung-chuan. 1975. *A Modern China and a New World*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Hu, Shih. 1962. “The Chinese Tradition and the Future,” in *Sino-American Conference on Intellectual Cooperation: Report and Proceedings*. Seattle: University of Washington Department of Publications and Printing.
- Liang Shuming (梁漱溟). 2003. 《中国文化要义》 (*Essence of Chinese Culture*). Shanghai: Century Publishing Group of Shanghai.
- Ou, Chen. 2010. “China’s National Identity during Hu Jintao’s Age,” *Asian Social Science*, 6:9 (September), pp.147-151.
- Rozman, Gilbert. 1999. “China’s Quest for Great Power Identity,” *Orbis*, 43:3 (Summer).
- Teng, Ssu-yu, and John K. Fairbank, 1954. *China’s Response to the West*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Zhang, Tiejun. 2004. “Self-Identity Construction of the Present China,” *Comparative Strategy*, 23:3, pp. 281-301. Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.

TA Section 2

- Discuss the issues covered in the first week
- Start to prepare for group projects

Session 5

One China and the Taiwan Issue

Presentation IV

The Taiwan issue presents us with a case of Sinicization in which the identity and representation of China are constantly contested, negotiated, compromised, and redefined by competing forces in and outside of China corresponding to changed circumstances.

Xu Xin, 2012

While the United States has good reasons to want Taiwan as part of the balancing coalition it will build against China, there are also reasons to think this relationship is not sustainable over the long term. For starters, at some point in the next decade or so it will become impossible for the United States to help Taiwan defend itself against a Chinese attack.

John Mearsheimer, 2014

Questions:

- What impacts has the modern concept of sovereignty had on China's traditional "center-periphery" relations?
- To what extent do China's traditional statecrafts of dealing with peripheries remain valid and viable?
- Why does Taiwan matter? What is at stake in Taiwan for Beijing, Taipei, and Washington?
- Why is the Taiwan issue so salient in China's politics and external policy?
- What are the implications of Taiwan's democratization and generational change for cross-Strait relations?
- What are the implications of China's rise for the resolution of the Taiwan issue?

Readings:Required

- **Xu Xin. 2012. "One China, Two Worlds: Taiwan and China's Quest for Identity and Security,"** in Peter J. Katzenstein, ed., *Sinicization and the Rise of China*. London and New York: Routledge, 2012, pp.65-96.
- **Rigger, Shelly. 2008. "Rising Nationalists: The Next Generation of Leadership in Taiwan,"** *NBR Analysis*, September. Available at: http://www.nbr.org/publications/specialreport/pdf/Free/02112012/NextGen_Leadership_Taiwan.pdf.
- **Mearsheimer, John J. 2014. "Say Goodbye to Taiwan,"** *The National Interest*, March-April. Available at <http://nationalinterest.org/article/say-goodbye-taiwan-9931?page=show>.

Recommended

- Oksenberg, M. 2001. "The Issue of Sovereignty in the Asian Historical Context," in Stephen D. Krasner, ed., *Problematic Sovereignty: Contested Rules and Political Possibilities*. New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 83-104.
- Christensen, Thomas. 1996. "Chinese Realpolitik: Reading Beijing's World-View," *Foreign Affairs*, 75:5 (September/October), pp.37-52.
- Hughes, Christopher R. 2011. "Negotiating national identity in Taiwan: between nativisation and de-sinicisation," in Robert Ash, John W. Garver, and Penelope Prime (eds.), *Taiwan's democracy: economic and political challenges*. Routledge: New York and London.
- Shih, Chih-yu. 2002. "The Global Constitution of "Taiwan Democracy," conference paper.
- Sutter, Robert. 2011. "Taiwan's Future: Narrowing Straits," *NBR Analysis*, May. Available at: <http://www.nbr.org/Publications/issue.aspx?id=7fc766e7-15b8-4d5d-93c0-6724b969626e>.
- Zhu Feng. 2011. "What the U.S. Needs to Realize," *New York Times*, September 24. Available at: <http://www.nytimes.com/roomfordebate/2011/09/23/should-the-us-continue-selling-arms-to-taiwan/what-the-us-needs-to-realize-about-chinas-nationalism>.
- Rigger, Shelley. 2011. "Why Giving Up Taiwan Will Not Help Us with China," AEI, No. 21 (November).
- Brown, Melissa J. 2004. *Is Taiwan Chinese? The Impacts of Culture, Power and Migration on Changing Identities*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Christensen, Thomas J. 2002. "The Contemporary Security Dilemma: Deterring a Taiwan Conflict," *The Washington Quarterly*, 25:4 (Autumn), pp.7-21.
- Dittmer, Lowell. 2006. "Taiwan as a Factor in China's Quest for National Identity," *Journal of Contemporary China*, 15:49 (November), pp.671-686.
- Hughes, Christopher. 1997. *Taiwan and Chinese Nationalism: National Identity and Status in International Society*. London: Routledge.

- Lieberthal, Kenneth. 2005. "Preventing a War Over Taiwan," *Foreign Affairs*, 84:2 (March/April).
- Ross, R. 2006a. "Taiwan's Fading Independent Movement," *Foreign Affairs*, 85:2 (March/April).
- Swaine, Michael. 2004. "Trouble in Taiwan," *Foreign Affairs*, 83:2 (March/April). Available at <http://www.foreignaffairs.org/20040301faessay83205/michael-d-swaine/trouble-in-taiwan.html?mode=print>.
- Wachman, Alan M. 2007. *Why Taiwan? Geostrategic Rationales for China's Territorial Integrity*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, pp. 25-44, 153-164.

Session 6

China and Japan: The "History Issue" in East Asia

Memo PresentationV

Ruling elites often make pernicious national myths for instrumental purposes, creating divergent historical memories of the same events in different countries. But they tend to exploit international history disputes only when they feel insecure domestically. Societal reactions to elite mythmaking, reflected in radicalized public opinion, can reinforce history disputes.

Yinan He (2007: 43)

[T]he politics of Japan's war memories and its identity as an international actor are fraught precisely because both its "memories" and the moral status of its war actions are deeply contested domestically.

Stephanie Lawson and Seiko Tannake (2010: 408)

Questions:

- What is the "history issue" in China-Japan relations all about? Are historical memories always subject to political manipulation or more deeply embedded in society?
- How is the contestation over the "history problem" related to Japan's quest for identity/normalcy in international relations? How is it related to geopolitics involving China, Japan and the United States?
- Why are Japan and China (and Korea) thus far incapable of reconciling their past as Germany and its European neighbors have done after World War II?
- Is a joint history textbook a constructive first step for reconciliation?

*Readings:*Required

- He, Yinan (何忆南). 2007. "Remembering and Forgetting the War: Elite Mythmaking, Mass Reaction, and Sino-Japanese Relations, 1950-2006," *History and Memory*, 19:2 (Fall), pp.43-74.
- Kim, Ji Young. 2014. "Escaping the Vicious Cycle: Symbolic Politics and History Disputes between South Korea and Japan," *Asian Perspective*, 38 (2014), pp. 31-60. Available Online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Lawson, Stephanie, and Seiko Tannaka. 2010. "War Memories and Japan's 'Normalization' as an International Actor: A Critical Analysis," *European Journal of International Relations*, 17:3, pp.405-428.

Recommended

- Hughes, Christopher W. 2016. “Japan’s ‘Resentful Realism’ and Balancing China’s Rise,” *Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 9:2 (Summer), pp.109-150.
- Mochizuki, Mike, and Samuel Porter. 2013. “Japan under Abe: Toward Moderation or Nationalism,” *The Washington Quarterly*, 36:4 (Fall), pp. 25-41.
- Smith, Paul. 2009. “China-Japan Relations and the Future of Geopolitics of East Asia,” *Asian Affairs*, pp. 230-256.
- Gries, Peter Hays. 2005. “China’s ‘New Thinking’ on Japan,” *The China Quarterly*, 184 (December), pp.831-850.
- Kawashima Shin. 2010. “The Three Phases of Japan-China Joint-History Research: What Was the Challenge?” *Asian Perspective*, 34:4, pp.19-43.
- Koschmann, J. Victor. 1997. “Asianism’s Ambivalent Legacy,” in Peter J. Katzenstein and Takashi Shiraiishi, eds., *Network Power: Japan and Asia* (Ithaca: NY: Cornell University Press), pp. 83-110.
- Kristof, Nicholas D. 1998. “The Problem of Memory,” *Foreign Affairs*, 77:6 (November/December), pp.37-49.
- Shibuichi, D. 2005. “The Yasukuni Dispute and the Politics of Identity in Japan: Why All the Fuss?” *Asian Survey*, 45:2, pp. 197-215.
- Shih, Chih-yu (石之瑜). 2011. “A Rising Unknown: Rediscovering China in Japan’s East Asia,” *The China Review*, 11:1 (Spring), pp. 1-26.
- Soeya, Y. 2003. “Japan as a Regional Actor.”
- Yang, D. 2002. “Mirror for the Future or the History Card? Understanding the ‘History Problem’,” in Marie Soderberg, ed., *Chinese-Japanese Relations in the 21st Century*. The European Institute of Japanese Studies, pp. 10-31.
- Zhao, Suisheng. 1998. “A State-led Nationalism: The Patriotic Education Campaign in Post-Tiananmen China,” *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 31:3, pp. 287-302.
- Christensen, Thomas. 1996. “Chinese Realpolitik: Reading Beijing’s World-View,” *Foreign Affairs*, 75:5 (September/October), pp.37-52.
- Kaihara, Hiroshi. 2009. “Contemporary Conservative Thoughts in Japan: Conservative Views on Morality, History, and Social Issues,” *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, 9:2 (May), pp. 339-364.
- Matthews, E. 2003. “Japan’s New Nationalism,” *Foreign Affairs*, 82:6 (November/December), pp. 74-90.
- Moon, Chung-in and Li, Chun-fu. 2010. “Reactive Nationalism and South Korea’s Foreign Policy on China and Japan: A Comparative Analysis,” *Pacific Focus*, 25:3 (November), pp. 331-355.
- Nye, Joseph. 2012. “Japan’s nationalism is a sign of weakness,” *Financial Times*, November 27, 2012. Available at <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/c6b307ae-3890-11e2-981c-00144feabdc0.html#axzz2I4UFXicm>.
- Whiting, Allen S. 1989. *China Eyes Japan*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Asahi. 2007. “The Impact of History” (English and Chinese), *The Ashahi Shinbun*.
- Freedom 2014. “China and Japan: Seven Decades of Bitterness,” *BBC*, February 13. Available at <http://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-25411700>.
 - A dispute over islands in the East China Sea has inflamed relations between Japan and China for the last two years - but they were tense even before. The BBC’s Mariko Oi visited both countries with a Chinese journalist to find out why the wounds of World War Two refuse to heal.
 - Listen to *Missing Histories –China and Japan*:

- Episode 1 (February 16, 2014): <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p01ryk62>
- Episode 2 (February 22, 2014): <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p01sbmjk>
- Buzan, B. 1988. “Japan’s Future: Old History versus New Role,” *International Affairs*, Vol.64, No.4 (Autumn), pp.557-573.
- Collins, Michael. 2005. “Riots And Remembrance: Rising Tensions between China and Japan,” *Contemporary Review*, June, pp.333-337.
- Gong, Gerrit W., ed. 1996. *Remembering and Forgetting: The Legacy of War and Peace in East Asia*. Washington, DC: The Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Gong, Gerrit W. 2001. *Memory and History: Issues of Identity in International Relations*. pp.26-44.
- He, Yinan. 2009. *The Search for Reconciliation: Sino-Japanese and German-Polish Relations since World War Two*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hein, L., and Selden, M., eds. 2000. *Censoring History: Citizenship and Memory in Japan, Germany, and the United States*. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe.
- Lam Peng Er. 2002. “The Apology Issue: Japan’s Differing Approaches toward China and South Korea,” *American Asian Review*, 20:3 (Fall), pp.1-54.
- Lee Sin-Cheol. 2007. “Korea-China-Japan Historical Disputes: Structure and Alternatives,” *Korea Journal*, 47:4 (Winter), pp. 221-251.
- McCormack, G. 2000. “The Japanese Movement to ‘Correct’ History,” in Hein, L., and Selden, M., eds., *Censoring History: Citizenship and Memory in Japan, Germany, and the United States*. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe. pp.53-73.
- Mitter, R. 2000. “Behind the Scenes at the Museum: Nationalism, History and Memory in the Beijing War of Resistance Museum, 1987–1997,” *The China Quarterly*, 161, pp.279-293.
- Northeast Asian History Foundation. 2009. *Opening Historical Reconciliation through the Historical Dialogue*.
- Okabe, T. 2001. “Historical Remembering and Forgetting in Sino-Japanese Relation,” in G.W. Gong, ed., *Memory and History: Issues of Identity in International Relations*.
- Qiu, Jin. 2006. “The Politics of History and Historical Memory in China-Japan Relations,” *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 11:1 (March), pp.25-53.
- Reilly, James. 2011. “Remember History, Not Hatred: Collective Remembrance of China’s War of Resistance to Japan,” *Modern Asian Studies* (suppl. China in World War II, 1937-1945: Experience, Memory), and 45:2 (March), pp.463-490.
- Waldron, A. 1996. “China’s New Remembering of World War II: The Case of Zhang Zizhong,” *Modern Asian Studies*, 30:4, pp.945–978.
- Wang, Zheng. 2008. “National Humiliation, History Education, and the Politics of Historical Memory: Patriotic Education Campaign in China,” *International Studies Quarterly*, 52, pp.783-806.
- Wu, Xinbo. 2001. “Memory and Perception: The Chinese Thinking of Japan,” in G.W. Gong, ed., *Memory and History: Issues of Identity in International Relations*. pp.65-85.
- Yang, Biao. 2001. “The Japanese History in China’s History Education,” *Korea Observer*, 32:2 (Summer), pp.275.

History Education in China

Field trip to the Museum of the War of Chinese People’s Resistance Against Japanese Aggression at the Marco Polo Bridge | Sunday, 7/16 (Details TBA)



Suggested Reading:

- Vickers, Edward. 2007. "Museums and Nationalism in Contemporary China," *Compare*, 37:3, pp. 365-383.

Session 7

Chinese Soft Power: The Beijing Olympics and the Revival of Confucianism

Memo Presentation VI

The wall that stands in China's way to the world is thick. ... simply a sincere heart was not enough to ensure China's smooth integration with the world.

Fu Ying, Chinese Ambassador to UK, 2008

Paradoxically, China's engagement with the Olympic Games has exacerbated the communication gap between East and West.

Wolfram Manzenreiter, 2010

Questions:

- Does soft power really matter in international relations? Does one country's soft power gain mean another country's soft power loss? How does it relate to hard power?
- What did the Beijing Olympics mean to China and to the world? What image(s) does China try to project through mega-events such as Olympic Games? How has China's self-image been perceived and received by the outside world?
- What does Manzenreiter mean by the "weak power" of China's soft power?
- What do you think are major problems with China's soft power? How can China overcome its image problem?
- Do you think Bell's argument for a Confucian approach to Chinese soft power convincing? Why or why not?

Readings:

Required

- Nye, Joseph S. Jr. and Wang Jisi. 2009. "Hard Decisions on Soft Power: Opportunities and Difficulties for Chinese Soft Power," *Harvard International Review*, Summer.
- Manzenreiter, Wolfram. 2010. "The Beijing Games in the Western Imagination of China: The Weak Power of Soft Power," *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 34 (1), pp. 29-48.
- Bell, Daniel A. 2009. "War, Peace, and China's Soft Power: A Confucian Approach," *Diogenes*, 56:1 (February), pp. 26-40.

Recommended

- Li Mingjiang. 2008. “China Debates Soft Power,” *Chinese Journal of International Politics*, Vol. 2, pp. 287-308.
- Bell, Daniel A. 2010. “Developing China’s Soft Power,” *International Herald Tribune*, September 24.
- Callahan, William A. 2015. “Identity and Security in China: The Negative Soft Power of the China Dream,” *Politics*, 35:3-4, pp. 216-229.
- Fu Ying. 2008a. “Western media has ‘demonised’ China,” *Telegraph*, April 13. Available at <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/opinion/main.jhtml?xml=/opinion/2008/04/12/do1210.xml>.
- Fu Ying. 2008b. “Bringing out the Best in Us: Our Olympics showed the world a dynamic, diverse China: the result of 30 years of reform,” *The Guardian*, Thursday 4 September 4. Available at <http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2008/sep/04/china.olympics2008>.
- Brownell, Susan. 2008. *Beijing’s Games: What the Olympics Mean to China*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- Ramo, Joshua Cooper. 2007. *Brand China*. The Foreign Policy Centre. Download free copy at: <http://joshuaramo.com/>.
- Xu Xin. 2006. “Modernizing China in the Olympic Spotlight: China’s National Identity and the 2008 Beijing Olympiad,” in *Sociological Review*, Vol. 54, Issue s2 (December), pp.90-107.
- Cho, Y.N. and Jeong, J.H. 2008. “China’s Soft Power,” *Asian Survey*, 48:3 (May), pp. 453-472.
- Fijałkowski, Łukasz. 2011. “China’s Soft Power in Africa,” *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 29:2 (April), pp.223-232. Available Online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Huang, Yanzhong and Ding, Sheng. 2006. “Dragon’s Underbelly: An Analysis of China’s Soft Power,” *East Asia*, 23:4 (December), pp.22-44.
- Kurlantzick, Joshua. 2006. “China’s Charm: Implications of Chinese Soft Power,” *Policy Brief*, No.47 (June), Carnegie Endowment.
- Lee, Jung-Nam. 2008. “The Rise of China and Soft Power: Chinas Soft Power Influence in Korea,” *China Review*, 8:1 (Spring), pp.127-154.
- Li, Xin, and Worm, Verner. 2011. “Building China’s Soft Power for a Peaceful Rise,” *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 16, pp.69–89.
- Nye, Joseph S. Jr. 2005. “The Rise of China’s Soft Power,” *Wall Street Journal Asia* (December 29). Available at http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/publication/1499/rise_of_chinas_soft_power.html.
- Nye, Joseph S. Jr. 2012. “China’s Soft Power Deficit,” *Wall Street Journal* (May 9), A11. Available at <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304451104577389923098678842.html>.

Beijing Olympics

- Brownell, Susan. 2009. “The Beijing Olympics as a Turning Point? China’s First Olympics in East Asian Perspective,” *The Asia-Pacific Journal*, Vol. 23-4-09 (June 8).
- Chwen Chwen Chen, Cinzia Colapinto And Qing Luo. 2012. “The 2008 Beijing Olympics opening ceremony: visual insights into China’s soft power,” *Visual Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 2 (June), pp. 188-195.
- Close, Paul, David Askew, and Xu Xin. 2007. *The Beijing Olympiad: The Political Economy of A Sporting Mega-Event*. Routledge.
- Economy, Elizabeth, and Adam Segal. 2008. “China’s Olympic Nightmare,” *Foreign Affairs*, 87:4 (July/August), pp. 47-56.
- Horne, John, and Wolfram Manzenreiter, eds. 2006. *Sports Mega-Events: Social Scientific Analyses of A Global Phenomenon*. Blackwell.

- Liang Lijuan. 2007. Translated by Susan Brownell. *He Zhengliang and China's Olympic Dream*. Beijing: Foreign Language Press.
- Price, Monroe. 2008. "On Seizing the Olympic Platform," in Monroe Price and Daniel Dayan, eds., *Owing the Olympics: Narratives of the New China*. The University of Michigan Press, pp.86-114.
- Schell, Orville. 2008. "China: Humiliation and the Olympics," *The New York Review of Books*, 55:13 (August 14).
- Worden, Minky, ed. 2008. *China's Great Leap: The Beijing Olympic Games and Olympian Human Rights Challenges*. With an introduction by Nicholas Kristof. Seven Stories.
- Xu, Guoqi. 2008. *Olympic Dreams: China and Sports, 1895-2008*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, pp.225-272.

Revival of Confucianism

- Hang, Lin. 2011. "Traditional Confucianism and Its Contemporary Relevance," *Asian Philosophy: An International Journal of the Philosophical Traditions of the East*, 21:4, pp. 437-445.
- Wu, Shufang. 2014. "The Revival of Confucianism and the CCP's Struggle for Cultural Leadership: a content analysis of the *People's Daily*, 2000-2009," *Journal of Contemporary China*, 23:89, pp. 971-991.
- Bell, Daniel. 2010. "From Communism to Confucianism: China's Alternative to Liberal Democracy," *NPQ*, Spring, pp. 18-27.
- Kang Xiaoguang. 2006. "Confucianization: A Future in the Tradition," *Social Research*, 73:1 (Spring), pp.77-120. Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Bell, Daniel A. 2008. *China's New Confucianism: Politics and Everyday Life in a Changing Society*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Bell, Daniel A. 2009. "War, Peace, and China's Soft Power: A Confucian Approach," *Diogenes*, 56:1 (February), pp.26-40. Available Online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Tu Weiming. 2002. "Confucianism and Liberalism," *Dao: A Journal of Comparative Philosophy*, 2:1, pp.1-20. Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Chen, Albert H. Y. 2007. "Is Confucianism Compatible with Liberal Constitutional Democracy?" *Journal of Chinese Philosophy*, pp. 196-216.
- Rozman, Gilbert. 2002. "Can Confucianism Survive in an Age of Universalism and Globalization?" *Pacific Affairs*: 75:1 (Spring), pp.11-37. Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Gil, Jeffrey. 2015. "China's Cultural Projection: A Discussion of the Confucius Institutes," *China: An International Journal*, 13:1 (April), pp. 200-226.
- Nylan, Michael. 2001. *The Five "Confucian" Classics*. New Haven: Yale University Press. Chapter 7 (pp.334-361), "Claiming the Canon."
- Song Xianlin. 2003. "Reconstructing the Confucian Ideal in 1980s China: The 'Culture Craze' and New Confucianism," in John Makeham, ed., *New Confucianism: A Critical Examination*. Palgrave, pp.81-103.
- Yu Ying-shih. 2006. "Address on the Occasion of Receiving the John W. Kluge Prize at the Library of Congress, December 5. Available at <http://www.loc.gov/today/pr/2006/06-A07.html>.
- Tu Weiming. 1985. *Confucian Thought: Selfhood As Creative Transformation*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Bresciani, Umberto. 2001. *Reinventing Confucianism: The New Confucian Movement*. Taipei: Ricci Institute. Chapters 1 & 13.

- Zhang, Tong, and Barry Schwartz. 1997. “Confucius and the Cultural Revolution: A Study of Collective Memory,” *International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society*, 11:2, pp. 189-212.

Videos:

- *2008 Beijing Olympic Opening Ceremony (NBC)*
- *Olympic Nightmare | Season 12: The China Problem (South Park):*
<http://www.southparkstudios.com/clips/187263>

Movie:

- *Confucius (2010)*, starring Chow Yun-fat

TA Section 3

- Discuss the issues covered in the second week
- Discuss the group projects

Session8

The China Model Debate

Group Presentation I

China is 200-300 years away from a market economy. Our economy is still built on privilege rather than on rights and lacks the three elements of a market economy: freedom, property rights, and entrepreneurship.

Zhang Weiying, 2012

2012 might one day be seen as marking the end of the idea that electoral democracy is the only legitimate and effective system of political governance.

Eric Li, 2013

Questions:

- According to Fukuyama, why didn't China develop the rule of law and political accountability as Europe did?
- Do you find Eric Li's argument about the resilience of China's one-party rule based on adaptability, meritocracy, and legitimacy convincing? Or Yasheng Huang's argument for democracy more convincing?
- Do you think that Zhang Weiying's vision for the market economy can be reconciled with Wang Shaoguang's Socialism 3.0? If so, how? If not, why not?
- What insights may you draw from the China Model debate regarding non-Western countries' path to modernity?
- Does Confucianism offer an alternative to liberal democracy as Daniel Bell (2010) asserts?

Readings:

Required

- “The China Model: A Dialogue between Francis Fukuyama and Zhang Weiwei,” *NPQ*, Fall 2011, pp. 40-67.
- *Authoritarianism vs. Democracy*
 - Eric X. Li. 2013. “The Life of the Party: The Post-Democratic Future Begins in China,” *Foreign Affairs*, 92:1 (January/February), pp. 34-46.

- Yasheng Huang. 2013. “Democratize or Die: Why China’s Communists Face Reform or Revolution?” *Foreign Affairs*, 92:1 (January/February), pp. 47-54.
- Bell, Daniel A. 2010. “From Communism to Confucianism: China’s Alternative to Liberal Democracy,” *NPQ*, Spring, pp. 18-27.
- **Market Economy vs. Socialism 3.0**
 - Zhang Weiyong. 2012. “From Privilege to Rights,” in Leonard, Mark, ed., *China 3.0. The European Council on Foreign Relations*, pp. 54-59. (Adapted from Zhang Weiyong’s keynote speech at the twelfth Yabuli Conference of China Entrepreneurs, which was held in February 2012).
 - Wang Shaoguang. 2012. “Chinese Socialism 3.0,” in Leonard, Mark, ed., *China 3.0. The European Council on Foreign Relations*, pp. 60-66. (Originally published in *Studies on Marxism*, February 2011).

Recommended

- Bell, Daniel A., Timothy Garton Ash, Andrew J. Nathan, Taisu Zhang. 2015 “Is the China Model Better Than Democracy?” *Foreign Policy*, OCTOBER 19. Available at <http://foreignpolicy.com/2015/10/19/china-democracy-theory-communist-party-politics-model/>.
- Ramo, Joshua Cooper. 2004. *The Beijing Consensus*. London: The Foreign Policy Centre, pp.1-25. Download free copy at: <http://joshuaramo.com/>.
- Pan Wei. 2007. “The Chinese Model of Development.” Speech at the Foreign Policy Centre, October 11.
- Kang Xiaoguang. 2006. “Confucianization: A Future in the Tradition,” *Social Research*, 73:1 (Spring), pp.77-120.
- Martin, Peter, and David Cohn. 2011. “Socialism 3.0 in China,” *The Diplomat*, April 25. Available at <http://thediplomat.com/2011/04/socialism-3-0-in-china/?allpages=yes>.
- Leonard, Mark. 2012. “What Does the New China Think?” in Leonard, Mark, ed., *China 3.0. The European Council on Foreign Relations*, pp. 9-25.
- Leonard, Mark, ed. 2012. *China 3.0. The European Council on Foreign Relations*.
- Shirk, Susan. 2007. *China: Fragile Superpower: How China’s Internal Politics Could Derail Its Peaceful Rise*. Chapter 3 (pp.35-78), “Domestic Threats.”
- Callahan, William A. 2005. “How to Understand China: The Dangers and Opportunities of Being a Rising Power,” *Review of International Studies*, 31:4 (October), pp.701-714.
- Gat, Azar 2007. “The Return of Authoritarian Great Powers,” *Foreign Affairs*, 86:4 (July/August). Available Online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Hu, Shih. 1941. “Historical Foundation for a Democratic China,” in *Edmund J. James Lectures on Government: Second Series*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Meisner, Maurice. 1999. *Mao’s China and After: A History of the Peoples Republic*, 3rd edition. Free Press.
- Mertha, Andrew. 2009. “‘Fragmented Authoritarianism 2.0’: Political Pluralization in the Policy Process,” *The China Quarterly*, No. 100 (December), pp. 995-1012.
- Oksenberg, Michael. 2001. “China’s Political System: Challenges of the Twenty-First Century.” *The China Journal*, 45 (January), pp.21-35.
- Perry, Elizabeth. 2003. *Chinese Society: Change, Conflict and Resistance*, 2nd edition. Routledge.
- Shirk, Susan. 2007. *China: Fragile Superpower: How China’s Internal Politics Could Derail Its Peaceful Rise*. Oxford University Press.
- Tang, Wenfang, and William L. Parish. 2000. *Chinese Urban Life under Reform: The Changing Social Contract*. Cambridge University Press.

- Wang, James C.F. 2001. *Contemporary Chinese Politics: An Introduction*, 7th edition. Prentice Hall.
- Yang, Dali. 2004. *Remaking the Chinese Leviathan: Market Transition and the Politics of Governance in China*. Stanford University Press.
- Zakaria, Fareed. 2007. “The Rise of a Fierce Yet Fragile Superpower,” *Newsweek*, December 22. Available at <http://www.newsweek.com/id/81588/output/print>. Accessed November 29, 2011.

Video:

- Eric Li, *A Tale of Two Political Systems* (May 2013). Available at http://www.ted.com/talks/eric_x_li_a_tale_of_two_political_systems.html.

Session 9

China and Globalization: “The Belt and Road Initiative”

Group Presentation II

There was a time when China also had doubts about economic globalization, and was not sure whether it should join the World Trade Organization. But we came to the conclusion that integration into the global economy is a historical trend. To grow its economy, China must have the courage to swim in the vast ocean of the global market. If one is always afraid of bracing the storm and exploring the new world, he will sooner or later get drowned in the ocean.

Xi Jinping, 2017

With these initiatives, Beijing, and particularly the CCP, seeks to reinforce the emerging global narrative that China is moving to the center of global economic activity, strength, and influence.

Christopher K. Johnson, 2016

China needs to do something which can help it be recognized as a responsible member of the international economic community and maybe in the future be recognized as a responsible leader.

Jin Liqun, 2017

Questions:

- What is economic and political rationale behind China’s “One Belt, One Road” (OBOR) initiatives?
- What are risks and challenges for China to implement OBOR?
- What are geopolitical implications of OBOR?
- In the era of Globalization 3.0, is China willing and able to assume a world leadership by providing global public goods? Why or why not?
- Do the OBOR (and AIIB) initiatives represent an alternative vision for world order?

Readings:

Required

- Johnson, Christopher K. 2016. “President Xi Jinping’s ‘Belt and Road’ Initiative: A Practical Assessment of the Chinese Roadmap for China’s Global Resurgence,” *Report, CSIS Freeman Chair in China Studies*, March.
- Rolland, Nadège. 2017. “China’s ‘Belt and Road Initiative’: Underwhelming or Game-Changer?” *The Washington Quarterly*, 40:1, 127-142.
- Dollar, David. 2015. “China’s rise as a regional and global power: The AIIB and the ‘one belt, one road’,” *Horizons*, No. 4 (Summer), pp. 162-172. Available at <http://www.brookings.edu/research/papers/2015/07/china-regional-global-power-dollar>.
- Jin Bei. 2017. “Economic Globalization 3.0 and the Concept of Interconnectivity under the Belt and Road Initiative,” *China Economist*, 12:2 (March-April), 2-20.

Recommended

- Xi Jinping. 2017. “Jointly Shoulder Responsibility of Our Times, Promote Global Growth,” Keynote Speech at the Opening Plenary of the World Economic Forum Annual Meeting in Davos, January 17. Available at <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2017/01/full-text-of-xi-jinping-keynote-at-the-world-economic-forum>.
- Huang, Yiping. 2016. “Understanding China’s Belt & Road Initiative: Motivation, Framework and Assessment,” *China Economic Review*, 40, 314-321.
- Wang, Yong. 2016. “Offensive for Defensive: The Belt and Road Initiative and China’s New Grand Strategy,” *Pacific Review*, 29:3, 455-463.
- EIU. 2015. “Prospects and challenges on China’s ‘one belt, one road’: a risk assessment report,” *The Economist Intelligence Unit*.
- Lipsy, Phillip. 2015. “Who’s Afraid of the AIIB?” *Foreign Affairs Snapshot*, May 7. Available at <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/china/2015-05-07/whos-afraid-aiib>.
- Godement, François, and Agatha Kratz. eds. 2015. “‘One Belt, One Road’: China’s Great Leap Outward,” European Council on Foreign Relations, June 10.
- Chen, Dingding. 2015. “One Belt, One Road, One Frenzied Debate,” *The Diplomat*, June 24. Available at <http://thediplomat.com/2015/06/one-belt-one-road-one-frenzied-debate/>.
- Blanchard, Jean-Marc F., and Colin Flint. 2017. “The Geopolitics of China’s Maritime Silk Road Initiative,” *Geopolitics*, 22:2, 223-245. Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2017.1291503>.
- Pitlo III, Lucio Blanco. 2015. “China’s ‘One Belt, One Road’ To Where?” *The Diplomat*, February 17. <http://thediplomat.com/2015/02/chinas-one-belt-one-road-to-where/>.
- Stokes, Jacob. 2015. “China’s Road Rules: Beijing Looks West Toward Eurasian Integration,” *Foreign Affairs Snapshot*, April 19. <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/asia/2015-04-19/chinas-road-rules>.
- Sohn, Injoo. 2015. “Five Political Challenges in China’s Monetary Ambition,” *Brookings East Asian Commentary*, No. 86. Available at <http://www.brookings.edu/research/opinions/2015/03/09-china-monetary-ambition-sohn>.
- Ito, Takatoshi. 2011. “The Internationalization of the RMB: Opportunities and Pitfalls,” Council on Foreign Relations, CGS/IIGG Working Paper, November.

Session 10

China, U.S., and the North Korean Nuclear Issue

Group Presentation III

It is hard to imagine North Korea's leaders using nuclear weapons unless they felt that the regime itself was in grave danger of being ousted, most obviously by a conventional war being waged by the United States and South Korea.

Robert Carlin & Robert Jervis, 2015

With Kim Jong-un hell-bent on extending his nuclear reach, an angry and anxious China is getting fed up with its long-time ally – and preparing, it appears, to flex its strength.

Nathan VanderKlippev, 2017

If the United States really hopes to achieve peace on the Korean Peninsula, it should stop looking for ways to stifle North Korea's economy and undermine Kim Jong Un's regime and start finding ways to make Pyongyang feel more secure.

John Delury, 2017

Questions:

- What is at the heart of the North Korean nuclear issue? What does North Korea intend to achieve through its nuclear weapon development? How is Kim Jong Un's policy different from his father's and grandfather's policy?
- What do you think of the U.S. strategy toward North Korea? What may be a better alternative to its current policy?
- How did South Korea's internal and external policies affect geopolitics in Northeast Asia?
- What are China's main concerns about the Korean Peninsula? What role can China play constructively on the Korean Peninsula?

Readings:

Required

- **Carlin, Robert, and Robert Jervis. 2015. "Nuclear North Korea: How Will It Behave?" *North Korea's Nuclear Futures Series*, US-Korea Institute at SAIS, October.**
- **VanderKlippev, Nathan. 2017. "Nuclear Neighbours: China and North Korea at the edge of Patience," *The Globe and Mail*, May 18.** Available at <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/world/china-and-north-korea-on-the-border/article34817039/>.
- **Ji, You. 2001. "China and North Korea: A Fragile Relationship of Strategic Convenience," *Journal of Contemporary China*, 10:28, pp. 387-398.**
- **Delury, John. 2017. "Trump and North Korea: Reviving the Art of the Deal," *Foreign Affairs*, March/April 2017 Issue.** Available at <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2017-02-13/trump-and-north-korea>.

Recommended

- Albert, Eleanor, and Beina Xu. 2017. "The China-North Korea Relationship," Council on Foreign Relations. Available at <https://www.cfr.org/background/china-north-korea-relationship>.
- Armacost, M. 2001. *The Korean Peninsula and Its Impact on Northeast Asian Affairs*.
- Beech, Hannah. 2017. "China's North Korea Problem," *New Yorker*, February 23. Available at <http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/chinas-north-korea-problem>.

- Berkowitz, Bonnie, Laris Karklis, and Tim Meko. 2017. “North Korea showed off a lot of missiles. What might be its targets?” *Washington Post*, May 18. Available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/world/north-korea-targets/?utm_term=.7624cbbb29e8.
- Bodansky, Y. 2005. “East Asia’s Coming Strategic Earthquake,” *Defense & Foreign Affairs Strategic Policy*, March.
- Cathcart, Adam. 2017. “North Korea Doesn’t Trust China an Inch,” *Foreign Policy*, March 8. Available at <http://foreignpolicy.com/2017/03/08/north-korea-doesnt-trust-china-an-inch/>.
- Cha, V. 1997. “Realism, Liberalism, and the Durability of the U.S.-Korean Alliance,” *Asian Survey*, Vol.37, No.7 (July), pp.609-622.
- Cha, V. 2002. “Korea’s Place in the Axis,”
- Cha, V. 2003. *Nuclear Weapons, Missile Defense, and Stability: A Case for “Sober Optimism”*.
- Chung, Jae Ho. 2001. “South Korea between Eagle and Dragon: Perceptual Ambivalence and Strategic Dilemma,” *Asian Survey*, 41:5 (September-October), pp. 777-796.
- Cumings, B. 1999. *Parallax Visions: Making Sense of American-East Asian Relations*. pp.121-150.
- Editorial. 2017. “How the China-North Korea Equation is Changing,” *The Economist*, June 8. Available at <http://www.economist.com/news/united-states/21723118-america-strives-respond-clear-and-present-danger-how-china-north-korea-equation>.
- Garrett, B., and Glaser, B. 1996. “Looking across the Yalu: China’s Assessment of North Korea,” *Asian Survey*, Vol.35, No.6 (June), pp. 528-545.
- Gong, G.W. 1991. “China and the Dynamics of Unification in Northeast Asia,” *The Proceedings of the Academy of Political Science*, Vol.38, No.2, pp.95-106.
- Jia H., and Zhuang Q. 1992. “China’s Policy toward the Korean Peninsula,” *Asian Survey*, Vol.32, No.12 (December), pp.1137-1156.
- Kang, D. 1998. “North Korea: Deterrence Through Danger,” in Alagappa, M., ed., *Asian Security Practice*.
- Kim, Patricia. 2016. “Lost Illusions: How Beijing Failed to Woo Seoul,” *Foreign Affairs*, Snapshot, September 30. Available at <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/china/2016-09-30/lost-illusions>.
- Levin, N. & Han, Y. 2001. *The South Korean Debate over Policies toward North Korea*.
- Lee H. 2004. *Neighborhood Building in Northeast Asia and the Korean Unification*.
- Maull, H.W., and Harisch, S. 2002. “Embedding Korean Unification Multilaterally,” *The Pacific Review*, Vol.15, No.1, pp.29-61.
- Smith, H. 2000. “Bad, Mad, Sad, or Rational Actor? Why ‘Securitization’ Paradigm Makes for Poor Policy Analysis of North Korea,” *International Affairs*, Vol.76, No.1, pp.111-132.
- Wang, F. 1999. “Joining the Major Powers for the Status Quo: China’s Views and Policy on Korean Reunification,” *Pacific Affairs*, Vol.72, No.2, pp.167-185.
- Wu, A. 2005. “What China Whispers to North Korea?” *The Washington Quarterly*, Vol.28, No.2, pp.35-48.
- 2017. “North and South Korea Give China a Double Headache,” *New York Times*, March 2. Available at <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/02/world/asia/china-north-south-korea.html>.

TA Section 4

- Watch and discuss *The Chinese Mayor* (BBC 2015) or another video

China's Rise as a Maritime Power

Memo Presentation VII

The challenge China poses is primarily geographic – notwithstanding critical issues about debt, trade, and global warming. China's emerging area of influence in Eurasia and Africa is growing, not in a nineteenth-century imperialistic sense but in a more subtle manner better suited to the era of globalization.

Robert D. Kaplan, 2010

The deterioration of China's ties with many neighbors was not the product of a new policy or strategy toward the region. Instead, it has occurred because a stronger and more capable China has acted to defend what it believed to be important or vital interests being challenged by other states.

M. Taylor Fravel, 2014

Questions:

- What is at stake in the South China Sea for China and East Asia? To what extent is China's approach to the South China Sea dispute part of its expanding maritime power and influence? How does the South China Sea dispute affect China's relations with ASEAN?
- What are American interests in the South China Sea? How do you interpret the Obama Administration's "rebalancing/pivot to Asia" posture?
- Will China's rise as a maritime power challenge the U.S. hegemony? Can the geopolitical interests of the United States and China be reconciled or managed by peaceful means?

Readings:Required

- Kaplan, Robert D. 2010. "The Geography of Chinese Power: How Far Can Beijing Reach on Land and at Sea?" *Foreign Affairs*, 89:3 (May/June), pp. 22-41.
- Chung, Chris P. C. 2016. "Drawing the U-Shaped Line: China's Claim in the South China Sea, 1946-1974," *Modern China*, 42(I), pp. 38-72.
- Fravel, M. Taylor. 2012. "Maritime Security in the South China Sea and the Competition over Maritime Rights," in Patrick M. Cronin, ed., *Cooperation from Strengths: China, the United States and the South China Sea*. Center for a New American Security, pp. 32-50.

Recommended

- DeLisle, Jacques. 2016. "The South China Sea Arbitration Decision: China Fought the Law and the Law Won ... Or Did It?" Foreign Policy Research Institute, July. Available at <http://www.fpri.org/article/2016/07/south-china-sea-arbitration-decision-china-fought-law-law-won/>.
- Fravel, M. Taylor, Jessica Chen Weiss, Peter Dutton, Orville Schell, Edward Friedman, Tom Nagorski. 2016. "The Future of the South China Sea," *ChinaFile*, July 12. Available at <http://foreignpolicy.com/2016/07/12/what-is-the-future-of-the-south-china-sea/>.
- Fravel, M. Taylor. 2014. "Things Fall Apart: Maritime Disputes and China's Regional Diplomacy," in Jacques deLisle and Avery Goldstein, eds., *China's Challenges* (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, Forthcoming).
- Fravel, M. Taylor. 2011. "China's Strategy in the South China Sea," *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 33:3 (December), pp. 292-319.

- Weiss, Jessica Chen. 2016. “Here’s what China’s people really think about the South China Sea,” *Washington Post*, July 14. Available at <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2016/07/14/heres-what-chinas-people-really-think-about-the-south-china-sea/>.
- Zhang, Feng. 2016. “South China Sea Arbitration Award: Breathtaking (But Counterproductive),” *The National Interest*, July 16. Available at <http://nationalinterest.org/blog/the-buzz/south-china-sea-arbitration-award-breathtaking-17004>.
- Liu Xiaoming. 2016. “South China Sea Arbitration Is a Political Farce,” *The Telegraph*, 23 July 2016. Available at <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/07/23/south-china-sea-arbitration-is-a-political-farce/>.
- Weiss, Jessica Chen, and Allan Dafoe. 2016. “Authoritarian Audiences and Government Rhetoric in International Crises: Evidence from China,” Working Paper, Cornell University and Yale University.
- Hamashita, Takeshi. 1997. “The Intra-regional System in East Asia in Modern Times,” in Peter Katzenstein and Takashi Shiraiishi, eds., *Network Power: Japan and Asia*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. pp. 113-135.
- Deans, Phil. 2000. “Contending Nationalisms and the Diaoyutai/Senkaku Dispute,” *Security Dialogue*, 31:1, pp. 119-131.
- Dutton, Peter. 2007. “Carving up the East China Sea,” *Naval War College Review*, 60:2 (Spring), pp.49-72.
- Erickson, Andrew, and Gabe Collins. 2012. “China’s Real Blue Water Navy,” *The Diplomat*. Available at <http://thediplomat.com/2012/08/30/chinas-not-so-scary-navy/2/?all=true>.
- Hamashita, Takeshi. 2008. *China, East Asia and the Global Economy: Regional and Historical Perspectives*. Routledge.
- Ross, Robert S. 2009. “China’s Naval Nationalism: Sources, Prospects, and the U.S. Response,” *International Security*, 34:2 (Fall), 46-81.
- Frewen, John. 2010. “Harmonious Ocean? Chinese Aircraft Carriers and the Australian-U.S. Alliance,” *JFQ*, 59 (4th Quarter), 68-74.
- Holmes, James R. 2006. “China Fashions a Maritime Identity,” *Issues & Studies*, 42:3 (September), pp. 87-128.
- Kaplan, Robert D. 2005. “How We Would Fight China,” *Atlantic*, 295:5 (June). Available at <http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2005/06/how-we-would-fight-china/3959/>. Accessed October 16, 2010.
- Kaplan, Robert D. 2010. Author Interview, “Q&A With Robert Kaplan on China,” Council on Foreign Relations, May 7. Available at <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/print/66372?page=show>. Accessed October 9, 2010.
- Ni Lexiong (倪乐雄). 2010. 《文明的转型与中国海权：从陆权走向海权的历史必然》 (*Civilizational Transformation and China’s Maritime Power: The Historical Inevitability of Moving from a Land Power to a Maritime Power*). Xinhua Press.
- Ross, Robert S. 1999. “The Geography of the Peace: East Asia in the Twenty-first Century,” *International Security*, 23:4 (Spring), pp.81-118.
- Zhang Shiping (张世平). 2009. 《中国海权》 (*China’s Maritime Power*). People’s Daily Press.
- Zhou Shixin. 2009. “Harmonious Ocean, Harmonious World,” *China.org.cn*, April 27. Available at http://www.china.org.cn/china/opinion/2009-04/27/content_17680562.htm. Accessed December 1, 2011.
- Beckman, Robert. 2012. “Geopolitics, International Law, and the South China Sea,” The Trilateral Commission. Available in Blackboard.
- Carlson, Allen, and Xu Xin. 2012. “South China Sea Is No Powder Keg?” *The Diplomat*. Available at <http://the-diplomat.com/2012/05/26/south-china-sea-is-no-powder-keg/>.

- Garver, John W. 1992. “China’s Push through the South China Sea: The Interaction of Bureaucratic and National Interests,” *The China Quarterly*, No. 132. (December), pp. 999-1028.
- Kaplan, Robert D. 2011. “The South China Sea Is the Future of Conflict: The 21st Century’s Defining Battleground Is Going to Be on Water,” *Foreign Policy*, September/October.
- Klymak, Jody M., Robert Pinkel, Cho-Teng Liu, Antony K. Liu, and Laura David. 2006. “Prototypical Solitons in the South China Sea,” *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33:11 (June), pp.
- Li Jinming. 2011. “South China Sea: Disputes and Great Powers,” *Contemporary International Relations*, 21:4 (January 1), pp. 69-92.
- Ott, Marvin C. 2011. “Deep Danger: Competing Claims in the South China Sea,” *Current History*, September, pp. 236-241.
- Owen, Nick A., and Schofield, Clive H. 2012. “Disputed South China Sea Hydrocarbons in Perspective,” *Marine Policy*, 36, pp. 809-822.
- Raine, Sarah. 2011. “Beijing’s South China Sea Debate,” *Survival: Global Politics and Strategy*, 53:5, pp. 69-88.
- Yang, Jian. 2011. “Navigating the Volatile South China Sea,” *New Zealand International Review*, 36:5, pp. 2-6.
- Yang Qing. 2006. “South China Sea Challenge,” *Beijing Review*, 49:13 (March 30), p.14.

East China Sea

- Manyin, Mark. 2012. “Senkaku (Diaoyu, Diaoyutai) Islands Dispute: U.S. Treaty Obligations,” *Congressional Research Service*.
- Swaine, Michael. 2013. “Chinese View Regarding the Senkaku/Diaoyu Islands Dispute,” *Chinese Leadership Monitor*, No. 41, pp.1-27.
- Lague, David. 2012. “China, Japan conflict over islands in the East China Sea at a standstill, for now,” *National Post*, September 21. Available at <http://news.nationalpost.com/2012/09/21/china-japan-conflict/>.

Useful Websites:

- M. Taylor Fravel | South China Sea: <https://taylorfravel.com/category/south-china-sea/>.

Session 12

China’s Peaceful Rise vs. America’s Hegemonic Stability in the Era of Globalization

Presentation VIII

China and the United States will not necessarily transcend the ordinary operation of great-power rivalry. But they owe it to themselves, and the world, to make an effort to do so.

Henry Kissinger, 2012

China and the United States are currently on a collision course for war – unless both parties take difficult and painful actions to avert it.

Graham Allison, 2017

There is no such thing as the so-called Thucydides trap in the world. But should major countries time and again make the mistakes of strategic miscalculation, they might create such traps for themselves.

Xi Jinping, 2015

Questions:

- What challenges and opportunities has a rising China brought to the United States and U.S.-led international order?
- How can China as a rising, “nonwestern” power and the U.S. as an established, “western” power accommodate to each other in order to escape the “Thucydides Trap”?
- What is a sensible, effective, and sustainable approach to managing U.S.-China competition against the backdrop of changing and globalizing world politics?
- What do you expect U.S.-China relations will unfold in the next five years?

*Readings:*Required

- **Allison, Graham A. 2015. “The Thucydides Trap: Are the U.S. and China Headed for War?”** *The Atlantic*, September 24. Available at <http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2015/09/united-states-china-war-thucydides-trap/406756/>.
- **Deng, Yong. 2015. “China: The Post-Responsible Power,”** *The Washington Quarterly*, 37:4, pp. 117-132.
- **Bader, Jeffrey A. 2016. “How Xi Jinping Sees the World...and Why?”** *Asia Working Group Paper 2*, (February), Brookings.
- **Babones, Salvatore. 2017. “American Tianxia: When Chinese Philosophy Meets American Power,”** *Foreign Affairs*, Snapshot, June 22. Available at <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2017-06-22/american-tianxia>.

Highly Recommended

- Christensen, Thomas J. 2015. “Obama and Asia: Confronting the China Challenge,” *Foreign Affairs*, September/October Issue. Available at <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/asia/obama-and-asia>.
- Allison, Graham A. 2017a. “What Xi Jinping Wants,” *The Atlantic*, May 31, Available at <https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2017/05/what-china-wants/528561/>.
- Allison, Graham A. 2017b. *Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap?* Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Ranchman, Gideon. 2017. “Destined for War? China, America and the Thucydides Trap,” *Financial Times*, March 30. Available at <https://www.ft.com/content/0e1ac020-1490-11e7-b0c1-37e417ee6c76?mhq5j=e3>.
- Mo Shengkai and Chen Yue. 2016. “The U.S.-China ‘Thucydides Trap’: A View from Beijing,” *The Atlantic*, July 10. Available at <http://nationalinterest.org/feature/the-us-china-thucydides-trap-view-beijing-16903?page=show>.
- Mearsheimer, John. 2014. “Can China Rise Peacefully?” *The National Interest* (Excerpts from John Mearsheimer’s *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. A new, updated edition. W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.)
- Lampton, David M. 2015. “A Tipping Point in US-China Relations is Upon Us,” speech at the conference “China’s Reform: Opportunities and Challenges,” co-hosted by The Carter Center and the Shanghai Academy of Social Sciences over May 6-7. Available at <http://www.uscnpm.org/blog/2015/05/11/a-tipping-point-in-u-s-china-relations-is-upon-us-part-i/>.

- Zoellick, Robert. 2005 (September 21). “Whither China: From Membership to Responsibility,” speech delivered at the National Committee on U.S.-China Relations Gala. Available at <http://www.ncuscr.org/articlesandspeeches/Zoellick.htm>.
- Christensen, Thomas J. 2011. “The Advantages of an Assertive China: Responding to Beijing’s Abrasive Diplomacy,” *Foreign Affairs*, 90:2 (March/April), 54-67.
- Ikenberry, G. John. 2008. “The Rise of China and the Future of the West,” *Foreign Affairs*, 87:1 (January/February), pp. 23-37.
- Kissinger, Henry. 2011a. “Avoiding a U.S.-China Cold War,” *Washington Post*, January 14. Available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2011/01/13/AR2011011304832_pf.html. Accessed January 15, 2011.
- Kissinger, Henry. 2011b. *On China*. New York: The Penguin Press.
- Kissinger, Henry. 2012. “The Future of U.S.-Chinese Relations: Conflict Is a Choice, Not a Necessity,” *Foreign Affairs*, 91:2 (March/April). Available at <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/137245/henry-a-kissinger/the-future-of-us-chinese-relations?page=show>.
- Lieberthal, Kenneth, and Wang Jisi. 2012. *Addressing U.S.-China Strategic Distrust*. John L. Thornton China Center Monograph Series • Number 4 • March. / 王缉思、李侃如：《中美战略互疑：解析与应对》（北京大学国际战略研究中心，2012年3月）
- Mahbubani, Kishore. 2008. “The Case Against the West,” *Foreign Affairs*, 87:3 (May/June). Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Mearshimer, John. 2010. “The Gathering Storm: China’s Challenge to US Power in Asia,” *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, Vol. 3, 381-396
- Ramo, Joshua Cooper. 2010. “How to Think About China,” *Time* (Asia Edition), 175:15 (April 19), pp.26-31.
- Yan Xuetong (阎学通). 2011. “How China Can Defeat America,” *New York Times*, November 20. Available at http://www.nytimes.com/2011/11/21/opinion/how-china-can-defeat-america.html?_r=1&sq=yan%20xuetong&st=cse&scp=1&pagewanted=print. Accessed November 21, 2011.
- Zakaria, Fareed. 2008. “The Future of American Power: How America Can Survive the Rise of the Rest,” *Foreign Affairs*, 87:3 (May/June). Available online through Cornell’s e-journals.
- Zhang Yesui (张业遂). 2011. “China-US Relations and China’s Peaceful Development,” Remarks at the George Bush Presidential Library, October 23. Available at <http://www.china-embassy.org/eng/zmgx/zxxx/t870002.htm>. Accessed November 24, 2011.

Recommended

Grand Strategy

- Bennett, James C. 2004. *The anglosphere challenge: why the English-speaking nations will lead the way in the twenty-first century*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Clinton, Hillary. 2011. “America’s Pacific Century,” *Foreign Policy*, November. Available at http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2011/10/11/americas_pacific_century?page=full. Accessed November 23, 2011.
- Donnelly, Thomas. 2001. “Preserving Pax Americana,” *Outlook*, 3:1 (January).
- Economy, Elizabeth. 2010. “The End of the ‘Peaceful Rise’? Even China’s Elites Don’t Know Where It’s Headed,” *Foreign Policy*, December. http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2010/11/29/the_end_of_the_peaceful_rise?print=yes&hide_comments=yes&page=full. Accessed January 6, 2011.
- Foot, Rosemary. 2000. *Rights Beyond Borders: the Global Community and the Struggle over Human Rights in China*. Oxford University Press.

- Foot, Rosemary. 2004. *Human Rights and Counterterrorism in America's Asia Policy*, Adelphi Paper, No 363 (February). Oxford University Press.
- Godement, Francois. 2011. "China Debates Its Global Strategy," *China Analysis*, April.
- Goldstein, Avery. 2005. *Rising to the Challenges: China's Grand Strategy and International Security*. Stanford University Press.
- Haass, Richard N. 2008. "The Age of Nonpolarity: What Will Follow U.S. Dominance," *Foreign Affairs*, May/June.
- Ikenberry, G. John. 2011. "The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism after America," *Foreign Affairs*, 90:3 (May/June), pp.56-68. Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Information Office of the State Council of the PRC. 2011. *China's Peaceful Development*. Available at http://news.xinhuanet.com/english2010/china/2011-09/06/c_131102329.htm. Accessed November 23, 2011.
- Jacques, Martin. 2009. *When China Rules the World: The End of the Western World and the Birth of a New Global Order*. New York: Penguin.
- Katzenstein, Peter J. ed. 2012a. *Anglo-America: Civilizational Politics Beyond West and East*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Katzenstein, Peter J., ed. 2012b. *Sinicization and the Rise of China*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Kim Jin Hyun. 2011. "Pax Sinica? Impossible!" *PacNet*, 10 (February 8).
- Liu Mingfu (刘明福). 2010. 《中国梦——后美国时代的大国思维与战略定位》 (*China Dream: Great Power Thinking and Strategic Posture in the Post-American Era*). Zhongguo Youyi Chuban Gongsi.
- Pilling, David. 2011. "How America Should Adjust to the Pacific Century," *Financial Times*, November 16. Available at <http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/72bec88e-103e-11e1-8211-00144feabdc0.html#axzz1eX3FFKCl>. Accessed November 23, 2011.
- Ramo, Joshua Cooper. 2010. "How to Think About China," *Time*, Thursday, April 8. Available at <http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1978640,00.html>. Accessed April 13, 2010.
- Ross, Robert S. 2006. "Balance of Power Politics and the Rise of China: Accommodation and Balancing in East Asia," *Security Studies*, vol. 15, no. 3 (July-September), pp.355-395.
- Samuelson, Robert J. 2006. "Farewell to Pax Americana," *Washington Post*, December 14. Available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/12/13/AR2006121301907_pf.html. Accessed October 12, 2011.
- Slaughter, Anne-Marie. 2009. "America's Edge: Power in the Networked Century," *Foreign Affairs*, 88:1 (January/February). Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Wang Jisi. 2011. "China's Search for a Grand Strategy," *Foreign Affairs*, 90:2 (March/April), pp. 68-79.
- Xu Xin (徐昕). 2006. "A Dialectic of Multilateralism and Multipolarity: China's Regional Security Practice in the Age of Globalization," in David Kelly, Ramkishan Rajan, and Gillian H L Goh, eds., *Managing Globalization: Lessons from China and India* (Singapore: World Scientific, 2006), pp.243-281.
- Xu Xin (徐昕). 2009. "The Chinese Concept of 'Twenty Years' Strategic Opportunities' and Its Implications for Asian Security Order," in N. S. Sisodia and V. Krishnappa, eds., *Global Power Shifts and Strategic Transition in Asia* (New Delhi: Academic Foundation), pp.59-92.
- Yan Xuetong (阎学通). 2011a. *Ancient Chinese Thought, Modern Chinese Power*. Princeton University Press.

- Yan Xuetong (阎学通), Xu Jin (徐进), et al. 2009. 《王霸天下思想及启迪》 (Thoughts of World Leadership and Implications). Beijing: Shijie Zhishi Chubanshe.
- Yeoh, Emile Kok Kheng, ed. 2009. *Towards Pax Sinica? China's Rise and Transformation: Impacts and Implications*. Institute of China Studies, University of Malaya.
- Yin Zhuo (尹卓). 2011. 《美国的亚洲新战略》 (“America's New Asia Strategy”), *Great Power Forum (People's Daily Online Forum)*, November 25. Available at <http://fangtan.people.com.cn/GB/147550/16395669.html>. Accessed November 29, 2011.
- Zhao, Quansheng, and Guoli Liu, eds. 2009. *Managing the China Challenge*. Routledge.

China-U.S. Relations

- Bergsten, C. Fred. 2008. “A Partnership of Equals,” *Foreign Affairs*, 87:4 (July/August), 57-69. Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Chen Jian. “The China Challenge in the 21st Century: Implications for U.S. Foreign Policy.”
- Christensen, Thomas. 2009. “Shaping the Choices of a Rising China: Recent Lessons for the Obama Administration,” *The Washington Quarterly*, 32:3 (July), pp.89-104.
- Ferguson, N., and M. Schularick. 2007. “‘Chimerica’ and the Global Asset Market Boom,” *International Finance*, 10:3, pp. 215–239. Download free [PDF](#) version.
- Ferguson, Niall. 2008. “Team ‘Chimerica’,” *Washington Post*, November 17, A19. Available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/11/16/AR2008111601736_pf.html. Accessed January 19, 2009.
- Foot, Rosemary, and Andrew Walter. 2011. *China, the United States and Global Order*. Cambridge University Press.
- Friedberg, Aaron L. 2011. *A Contest for Supremacy: China, America, and the Struggle for Mastery in Asia*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- *Global Times*. 2010. “China Unlikely to Top US Post-war Agenda,” September 14. Available at <http://www.globaltimes.cn/opinion/commentary/2010-09/573148.html>. Accessed November 24, 2011.
- Gill, Bates, and Melissa Murphy. 2006. “Meeting the Challenges and Opportunities of China's Rise: Expanding and Improving Interaction between the American and Chinese Policy Communities,” CSIS Report.
- Hayden, Tom. 2011. “America's New Cold War with China,” *Huffington Post*, November 18. Available at http://www.huffingtonpost.com/tom-hayden/america-china-foreign-policy_b_1100748.html?view=print&comm_ref=false. Accessed November 21, 2011.
- Haass, Richard N. 2002. “China and the Future of U.S.-China Relations,” Remarks to the National Committee on U.S.-China Relations, New York, December 5. Available at http://www.ncuscr.org/articlesandspeeches/haass_speech.htm. Accessed on May 12, 2004.
- Haass, Richard N. 2008. “The Age of Nonpolarity: What Will Follow U.S. Dominance,” *Foreign Affairs*, 87:3 (May/June). Available online through Cornell's e-journals.
- Kirshner, Jonathan. 2008. “The Consequences of China's Economic Rise for Sino-U.S. Relations: Rivalry, Political Conflict, and (Not) War,” in Robert Ross and Zhu Feng, eds., *The Rise of China: Theory and Practice* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press), pp.238-259.
- Kissinger, Henry. 2011a. “Avoiding a U.S.-China Cold War,” *Washington Post*, January 14. Available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2011/01/13/AR2011011304832_pf.html. Accessed January 15, 2011.
- Krauthammer, Charles. 2001. “The Bush Doctrine: ABM, Kyoto and the New American Unilateralism,” *Weekly Standard*, 6:36 (June 4).
- Kyngge, James. 2007. *China Shakes the World: A Titan's Rise and Troubled Future – And the Challenge for America*. Mariner Books.

- Lardy, Nicholas. 2009. “China’s Economic Rise: Challenges and Opportunities,” An address given to the Los Angeles World Affairs Council, On March 31.
- Liberthal, Kenneth. 2006. 《塑造面向未来的建设性中美关系》 (“Shaping Future-oriented, Constructive China-US Relations”), *People’s Daily*, overseas edition, September 15. Available at http://news.xinhuanet.com/comments/2006-09/15/content_5093790.htm. Accessed September 22, 2006.
- Ma Ying. 2011. “Both in the Same Boat,” *China Daily*, July 26, 8. Available at http://usa.chinadaily.com.cn/opinion/2011-07/26/content_12982347_2.htm. Accessed December 1, 2011.
- Mahbubani, Kishore. 2011. “The New Asian Great Game,” *Financial Times*, November 23. Available at <http://blogs.ft.com/the-a-list/2011/11/23/the-new-asian-great-game/#>. Accessed November 23, 2011.
- Wang Jisi (王缉思). 2005. “China’s Search for Stability with America,” *Foreign Affairs*, 84:5 (September/October). Available at <http://www.foreignaffairs.org/20050901faessay84504/wang-jisi/china-s-search-for-stability-with-america.html?mode=print>. Accessed April 10, 2009.
- Wang Jisi (王缉思). 《中美重大战略较量难避免》 (“China-US Major Strategic Contest Is Inevitable”), *Xinhua*, August 9. Available at http://news.xinhuanet.com/herald/2010-08/09/c_13436804.htm. Accessed September 13, 2010.
- Wu Xinbo (吴心伯). 2006. 《如何应对中美关系国际化》 (“How to Deal with Internationalization of China-US Relations”), *Huanqiu Shibao*, February 9, 11.
- Wu Xinbo (吴心伯). 2011. “Time for China, US to Lay Positions on Table,” *Global Times*, January 14. Available at <http://www.globaltimes.cn/opinion/commentary/2011-01/612569.html>. Accessed November 24, 2011.
- Xu Xin (徐昕). 2005. “Harmonization of NTS Securitization in U.S.-China Security Cooperation,” *Ritsumeikan Journal of Asia Pacific Studies*, Vol.19 (December 2005), pp.1-28.
- Zoellick, Robert B. 2007 (March 21). “From the Shanghai Communiqué to Global Stakeholders,” Annual Lecture in Honor of A. Doak Barnett & Michel Oksenberg, Shanghai, China. Available at http://shenyang.usembassy-china.org.cn/oksenberg_lecture.html.

New Type of Great Power Relations

- Bader, Jeffrey, and J. Stapleton Roy. 2013. “Revisiting the Sunnylands Summit: Where Does the Relationship Go from Here?” NCUSCR website, special briefing (audio), June 13. <http://www.ncuscr.org/programs/revisiting-sunnylands-summit-where-does-relationship-go-here>.
- Denmark, Abraham M. 2013. “Forging a New Type of Great Power Relations in the Global Commons,” *American Foreign Policy Interests*, 35:3 (June), 129-136.
- Glosserman, Brad. 2013. “A ‘New Type of Great Power Relations’? Hardly,” *PacNet*, No.40 (June 10).
- Lampton, David M. 2013. “A New Type of Major-Power Relationship: Seeking a Durable Foundation for U.S.-China Ties,” *Asia Policy*, No. 16 (July).
- Mattis, Peter. 2013. “Nothing New About China’s New Concept,” *The National Interest*, June 7.
- Ruan Zongze. 2012. “A Historic Opportunity to Establish a New Type of Great Power Relationship between China and the United States,” *CIIS website*, December 31. http://www.ciis.org.cn/english/2012-12/31/content_5638120.htm.

~ The End of Class ~